

SC Annual School Report Card Summary

Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High

Orangeburg 4

Grades: 7-12 Enrollment: 327

Principal: Dr. Jonathan Francis Superintendent: Dr. Darrell Johnson

Board Chair: Mr. Aaron Rudd

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.


YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING	PALMETTO GOLD/SILVER AWARD	AYP STATUS	NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS
2008	At-Risk	At-Risk	TBD	Met	N/A
2007	At-Risk	At-Risk	N/A	Not Met	N/A
2006	At-Risk	At-Risk	Silver	Met	N/A


ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*


EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	AT-RISK
0	0	1	3	41


^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/02/2009. Schools with Students like Ours are Middle Schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

PACT PERFORMANCE











NAEP PERFORMANCE*

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

READING - GRADE 8 (2007)					
South Carolina	31	44	23 2		
Nation	27	43	27	2	
	% Below Basic	% Basic, Proficient, ar	nd Advanced		
■ Below Basic □ Basi	c Proficient	Advanced			





END OF COURSE TESTS - 2008					
% of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours			
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	100.0%	87.0%			
English 1	55.6%	83.4%			
Physical Science	0%	35.2%			
All Subjects	73.3%	84.5%			

SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

2010 Goal:

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

2020 Goal: TBD

Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High [Orangeburg 4] **SCHOOL PROFILE**

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=327)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	4.6%	N/R	11.9%	19.4%
Retention rate	7.5%	Up from 6.7%	2.6%	1.8%
Attendance rate	96.6%	Down from 97.1%	95.2%	95.8%
Eligible for gifted and talented	6.2%	Down from 6.9%	6.9%	15.3%
With disabilities other than speech	10.1%	Down from 13.9%	13.5%	12.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.2%	N/R	0.6%	0.7%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=33)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	78.8%	Up from 61.8%	53.8%	55.0%
Continuing contract teachers	36.4%	Down from 64.7%	54.8%	70.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	21.9%	Up from 10.0%	18.4%	5.4%
Teachers returning from previous year	75.6%	Down from 75.9%	76.9%	83.4%
Teacher attendance rate	94.8%	Down from 95.4%	94.7%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$45,434	Up 3.4%	\$43,315	\$44,706
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	6.5%	Down from 10.8%	8.0%	2.9%
School				
Principal's years at school	0.5	Down from 4.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	15.7 to 1	N/R	16.5 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.6%	Down from 89.8%	88.8%	89.3%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Up from Poor	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	Up from No	Yes	Yes
Character development program	Excellent	N/R	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$13,275	Up 7.4%	\$8,372	\$7,097
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	52.7%	Up from 51.6%	64.4%	64.4%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	45.8%	Down from 48.0%	57.4%	59.4%
% of AYP objectives met	100.0%		55.5%	69.0%
% of AYP objectives met	100.0%		55.5%	69.0%

^{*} Prior year audited financial data available

EVALUATION RESULTS

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	23	37	24
Percent satisfied with learning environment	72.7%	48.6%	63.6%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	78.3%	56.8%	70.8%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	56.5%	78.4%	70.8%

^{*}Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High School is to prepare students to become successful, productive citizens in a global society and to assist them in reaching their fullest potential by providing a broad and flexible curriculum that incorporates technical experiences in a safe and orderly environment.

Various teaching strategies and resources were used to improve academic performance such as: additional staff were added to lower class size in middle school Social Studies and Science, the Benchmark Testing Program was enhanced by using MAP scores in grades 7-10, curriculum from Anderson School District Five was implemented to provide uniformed school-wide instruction, afterschool tutorial sessions were held in English and Mathematics, enrichment classes were provided for students taking the HSAP and PACT, the Credit Recovery Program assisted students in earning credit for a course in grades 7-12, and Honor Roll celebrations were implemented. Regular review and analysis of data continues to drive instruction.

The Varsity Girls' and Boys' Basketball teams participated in the Upper State Finals and the Girls went to the State "A" Basketball Championships. The school participated in Orangeburg County Community of Character Program. Thirty percent of the graduating senior class participated in Claflin University's Humanities Festival, and three students were awarded scholarships ranging from \$500 to \$2,500 based on their winning essays. Twenty-seven new members were inducted into the National Honor Society. The Student Government continued their Thanksgiving Day Drive by collecting can goods for the needy.

Parents, teachers, students, and the community have an important role in education. Together, we can educate our students to help them become successful, productive members of society. We look forward to our continued partnerships at Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High School, where we are "Promoting Excellence."

Jonathan Francis, Principal William Ray, School Improvement Council

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of rating. performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.